The antiviral activity of the milk protein lactoferrin against the human immunodeficiency virus type 1

Ben Berkhout^{1,*}, René Floris², Isidra Recio³ & Servaas Visser²

Key words: antiviral protein, HIV-1, lactoferrin, viral entry inhibitor

Abstract

Milk forms a rich source of biologically interesting components and the protein fraction is known to facilitate many different biological functions. In this manuscript, we review the antiviral properties of the milk protein lactoferrin (LF). In particular, we will describe its antiviral activity against the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1).

Introduction

Milk provides a rich source of valuable proteins, minerals and vitamins. The nutritional significance of the protein fraction has macronutritient and physiological aspects (Hambraeus 1992), which are important for their use in dietary and pharmaceutical applications. Besides bioactive proteins, milk also provides bioactive peptides. These are essentially formed by enzymatic hydrolysis of intact proteins, which themselves are not necessarily bioactive. Such bioactive fragments within the amino acid sequence of milk proteins have been studied extensively (for reviews, see Meisel 1997, Dziuba et al. 1999). A large range of bioactivities has been reported for milk protein components, some of these components showing more than one kind of biological activity. In this paper, we concentrate on the antiviral properties of LF against HIV-1.

HIV-1 biology

Most drugs that are currently used in the treatment of HIV infections belong to one of the three following classes: nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibit-

ors, and protease inhibitors (Volberding 1999, Moyle 2001, Squires 2001, De Clerq 2002, Van Heeswijk et al. 2002). These compounds inhibit crucial processes: reverse transcription of the viral RNA genome, and processing of the viral proteins (Gag and Gag-Pol polyprotein precursors) that are needed for viral assembly. There are other important events in the replicative cycle of HIV that form potential targets for therapeutic intervention: viral adsorption to the cell (blocking the viral envelope proteins gp120 and gp41); viral entry (blocking cell membrane receptor CD4 or chemokine co-receptors CXCR4 and CCR5); virus-cell fusion (blocking viral envelope protein gp41); viral assembly and disassembly (targeting NCp7 zinc finger); proviral DNA integration (inhibiting integrase); viral mRNA transcription (inhibiting the transcription/ transactivation process).

Of these possibilities, interference with the infection process by binding to either the virus envelope proteins (gp120 and gp41) or the cell membrane (co)receptors (CD4, CXCR4, and CCR5) seems a relevant mechanism for the design of anti-HIV drugs, in part because the drug does not have to penetrate into the cells for inhibition to occur. For this reason, the entry process is also a possible target for therapeutic milk

¹Department of Human Retrovirology, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Meibergdreef 15, 1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands

²Department of Product Technology, NIZO food research, P.O. Box 20, 6710 BA Ede, The Netherlands

³Instituto de Fermentaciones Industriales (CSIC), Juan de la Cierva 3, 28006 Madrid, Spain

^{*}Corresponding author (Tel.: +31-20-566-4822; Fax: +31-20-691-6531; E-mail: b.berkhout@amc.uva.nl; http://www.berkhoutlab.com)

proteins. These entry blockers could be combined in therapies with drugs that inhibit processes in later stages of infection. An advantage of such drug combinations could be the reduced possibility of resistance development, and the drugs could also potentially exhibit synergistic effects (D'Souza *et al.* 2000, Blair *et al.* 2000).

It is thought that electrostatic interactions play an important role in the interaction between the HIV-1 virion and the host cell. There are solid indications that a positively charged domain on gp120, which faces away from the virus, forms the actual co-receptor binding site (Rizzuto et al. 1988, Kwong et al. 2000). This domain includes the so-called V3 loop of gp120, which has been shown to determine co-receptor usage. A strongly basic V3 loop is frequently correlated with usage of CXCR4 (De Jong et al. 1992, Fouchier 1992). It is of interest that the electrostatic potential of extracellular domains of CXCR4 is much more pronounced than that of the CCR5 co-receptor (Jiang 1997, Berkhout et al. 1998). The importance of several negatively charged residues in CXCR4 for HIV-1 entry was confirmed (Kajumo et al. 2000). In addition, the conserved part of gp120, which is also very basic (Moulard et al. 2000), is important in the interactions with co-receptors, and negatively charged residues are critical for functioning of the CCR5 co-receptor (Kajumo et al. 2000, Cormier et al. 2000). Sulfonation of CCR5, which increases the overall negative charge, is important for HIV-1 entry (Farzan et al. 1999, Cormier et al. 2000). Electrostatic interactions have also been shown to be of importance in contacts of HIV-1 with accessory cell-surface molecules, such as in the interaction between the V3 loop and heparan sulfate (Rodriguez et al. 1995).

Naturally occurring negatively charged polymers such as heparin and dextran sulfate have been shown to display antiviral effects (Ueno et al. 1987, Baba et al. 1988, Lederman et al. 1989). Consistent with the idea of blocking virus-cell interaction via (nonspecific) charge-charge interactions, HIV-1 replication is also blocked by positively charged molecules, including the highly cationic ALX40-4C (nonapeptide) and AMD3100 (Schols et al. 1997, Doranz et al. 1997). Milk was also shown to be a source of highly positively charged macromolecules that could inhibit the binding of HIV-1 to the CD4 receptor (Newburg et al. 1992). This finding demonstrates that milk and plasma proteins, as well as modified forms thereof such as some charge-modified proteins and protein fragments, may be used as antiviral compounds.

LF-mediated HIV-1 inhibition

During microbial infections and autoimmune diseases, the level of plasma LF increases from 4 to up to 200 μg/mL (Lash et al. 1983, Nuijens et al. 1992). Based on this physiological fluctuation of the protein concentration, it was hypothesized that LF may display antiviral activities. The first report of antiviral activity of LF against HIV-1 was published in 1995 (Harmsen et al. 1995). The same group reported that LF does not inhibit HIV-2 replication. Native bovine LF and human LF inhibit HIV-1 infection of MT-4 cells. Bovine LF (IC₅₀ = 40 μ g/mL) was a more potent inhibitor than human LF (IC₅₀ = 75 μ g/mL). Most studies have been performed with the bovine protein, which we will refer to as LF. LF isolated from milk, colostrum or serum did not show large differences in inhibitory activity. Charge-modified LFs were also prepared: succinylated (Suc)-LF, sulfitolized-LF, aconitilated (Aco)-LF and asialo-LF (removal of sialic acid residues) (Harmsen et al. 1995, Swart et al. 1999). Of these modified proteins Suc-LF and Aco-LF showed an increase in anti-HIV-1 activity (2-4 times more active). The anti-HIV-1 activities of the other molecules were decreased and sulfitolized LF was more toxic to MT-4 cells. The amino acid sequences of bovine and human LF are 70% identical, and this may explain the differences in anti-HIV-1 activity. It has been reported that LF exerts its effect in the early phase of infection, at the level of virus adsorption and penetration. Since the protein can exist in an iron-saturated and apo form, the effect of metal ions bound to bovine LF on HIV-1 infection was studied (Puddu et al. 1998). Apo-LF, Fe³⁺-LF, Mn²⁺-LF and Zn²⁺-LF were all shown to be potent and selective inhibitors when evaluated in the C8166 T-cell line. Iron-saturated LF appeared to be the most potent inhibitor and apo-LF the least potent.

Since surface charges were found to be important for the inhibitory effect of LF, this protein was also cationized using ethylene diamine (Swart *et al.* 1999). The cationized LF derivatives did not show any significant anti-HIV-1 effect, in contrast to some acylated anionized forms of LF. This result shows that an increased positive charge of LF leads to a destruction of its antiviral activity. Bovine LFcin is a highly cationic peptide domain on the surface of the intact LF molecule, and it shows antiviral activity at $100~\mu\mathrm{M}$ (Berkhout *et al.* 1997). However, this peptide shows little anti-HIV activity when compared to intact

LF, indicating that other parts of the LF molecule are important.

To get more insight into the mechanism of inhibition, the binding of LF and Suc-LF to gp120-derived peptides was studied (Swart et al. 1996, Swart et al. 1998). Synthetic peptides from the V2 and V3 domains of gp120 from a T cell-tropic and a monocytotropic HIV-1 isolate were used to study the binding of LF to gp120 in peptide scanning experiments. Native LF interacts weakly with both V3 loops, which differ in amino acid sequence and in total net charge (+0.63 and +2.38 at pH 8.0, respectively). A binding constant of 5.2 μ M could be determined for this interaction. However, cleavage of the GPGRAF domain in the V3 loop by thrombin resulted in a complete loss of the LF-peptide interaction, indicating that LF has a strong affinity for the intact V3 domain. Binding of the positively charged LF to these peptide domains was rather unexpected, since these peptides are positively charged. Binding of LF to the V3 domain peptides could be blocked by other charged molecules, indicating that charge interactions may be involved. Both human and bovine LF contain a cluster of negative charges between residues 210–240. This peptide sequence contributes to two β -sheets, with a net negatively charged loop (residues 231–245). This loop is relatively easily accessible and may interact with the positively charged domains in the V3 loop. Differences between human and bovine LF in net charge densities of this loop may explain the differences in the anti-HIV-1 effects that were observed. These findings also confirm that LF inhibits viral replication at an early stage (virus-cell fusion and/or binding) by binding to the gp120 molecule, thus inhibiting subsequent interaction with the CD4 receptor and possibly with the CXCR4 and CCR5 co-receptors.

A recent study (Berkhout *et al.* 2002) analyzed the antiviral activity of LF in a spreading virus infection assay (primary HIV-1 LAI isolate and the SupT1 T cell line), by which the accumulation of virus particles is monitored after multiple replication rounds. Bovine LF was able to completely block the spreading infection at a concentration of 10 μ M (IC₅₀ = 0.4 μ M) and significant inhibition of virus replication was already observed at 0.1 μ M. Since it was suggested that LF, apart from its interaction with the V3 loop, could possibly inhibit virus-cell interaction through (competitive) binding to the CXCR4 and CCR5 coreceptors, the antiviral activity of LF against HIV-1 variants with different V3 domains and co-receptor usage was tested. Bovine LF was capable of inhibiting

different HIV-1 strains that use the CXCR4 and CCR5 co-receptor, confirming the broad activity spectrum of LF (Moriuchi & Moriuchi 2001). This result suggests that it is possible that LF binds to both the V3 loop of gp120 and the co-receptor CXCR-4 or CCR5. To obtain further insight into the mechanism of inhibition, an LF-resistant virus was selected in prolonged infection experiments in the presence of 10 μ M LF (Berkhout et al. 2002). The further analysis of the LFresistant HIV-1 variant indicated that LF blocks the process of virus entry. There are also indications that LF is able to inhibit viral processes within the host cell. LF was shown to inhibit the reverse transcriptase, protease and integrase enzymes (Ng et al. 2001, Wang et al. 2000). Interestingly, it was also found that LF resistance coincided with a loss of viral replication capacity or fitness (Berkhout et al. 2002).

Conclusions

In recent years there has been an increasing industrial interest in the application of functional food proteins and peptides because of their potential as health-promoting food additives or as therapeutically interesting proteins of biological origin. For instance, antibacterial proteins may be useful in food preservation, and a well-known example is LF. Besides antimicrobial activity, other biological effects have been reported for LF, which includes regulation of the immune response, cellular functions and antiviral activity. Milk and plasma proteins have been investigated in particular with respect to their antiviral activity against HIV-1 and human cytomegalovirus (HCMV). Although LF shows antiviral activity in its native form, this activity may be strongly enhanced by chemical modification. The successful application of these bioactive proteins requires the eventual demonstration of in vivo beneficial effects in animal models and in humans. Some clinical trials have already been conducted to evaluate the antibacterial effect of orally administered LF in humans. The work on antiviral effects of food proteins is at the stage of intensive laboratory research and has already yielded promising results by providing a better insight into the mechanistic aspects of viral inhibition. This research may eventually lead to the development of useful antivirals for therapeutic treatment or prevention of viral transmission, which would be of particular importance for developing countries.

References

- Baba M, Pauwels R, Balzarini J et al. 1988 Mechanism of inhibitory effect of dextran sulfate and heparin on replication of human immunodeficiency virus in vitro. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 85, 6132–6136.
- Berkhout B, Derksen GC, Back NK et al. 1997 Structural and functional analysis of negatively charged milk proteins with anti-HIV activity. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 1, 1101–1107.
- Berkhout B, Das AT. 1998 Similarity of chemokines charge and the V3 domain of HIV-1 env protein. *Emerg Infect Dis* **4**, 335–336.
- Berkhout B, van Wamel JL, Beljaars L *et al.* 2002 Characterization of the anti-HIV effects of native lactoferrin and other milk proteins and protein derived peptides. *Antiviral Res* **55**, 341–355.
- Blair WS, Lin PF, Meanwell NA *et al.* 2000 HIV-1 entry an expanding portal for drug discovery. *Drug Discovery Today* 5, 183–194.
- Cormier EG, Persuh M, Thompson DA et al. 2000 Specific interaction of CCR5 amino-terminal domain peptides containing sulfotyrosines with HIV-1 envelope glycoprotein gp120. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97, 5762–5767.
- De Jong JJ, De Ronde A, Keulen W et al. 1992 Minimal requirements for the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 V3 domain to support the syncytium-inducing phenotype: analysis by single amino acid substitution. J Virol 11, 6777–6780.
- Doranz BJ, Grovit-Ferbas K, Sharron MP et al. 1997 A small-molecule inhibitor directed against the chemokine receptor CXCR4 prevents its use as an HIV-1 coreceptor. J Exp Med 8, 1395–400.
- Dziuba J, Minkiewicz P, Nalecz D *et al.* 1999 Database of biologically active peptide sequences. *Nahrung* **43**, 190–195.
- Farzan M, Mirzabekov T, Kolchinsky P et al. 1999 Tyrosine Sulfation of the Amino Terminus of CCR5 Facilitates HIV-1 Entry. Cell 96, 667–676.
- Fouchier RA, Groenink M, Kootstra NA *et al.* 1992 Phenotype-associated sequence variation in the third variable domain of the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gp120 molecule. *J Virol* **5**, 3183–3187.
- Hambraeus L. 1992 In Adv. Dairy Chem, Fox, P.F., eds. Elsevier Science Publishers Ltd. London 1, 457–490.
- Harmsen MC, Swart PJ, de Bethune MP *et al.* 1995 Antiviral effects of plasma and milk proteins: lactoferrin shows potent activity against both human immunodeficiency virus and human cytomegalovirus replication *in vitro. J Infect Dis* 2, 380–388.
- Jiang S. 1997 A survey of the sexual values and behavior among urban unmarried young people in the nineties. *Chin J Popul Sci* 3, 265–268.
- Kajumo F, Thompson DA, Guo Y et al. 2000 Entry of R5X4 and X4 Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 strains is mediated by negatively charged and tyrosine residues in the amino-terminal domain and the second extracellular loop of CXCR4. Virology 271, 240–247.
- Kwong PD, Wyatt R, Sattentau QJ et al. 2000 Oligomeric modeling and electrostatic analysis of the gp120 envelope glycoprotein of human immunodeficiency virus. J Virol 74, 1961–1972.
- Lash JA, Coates TD, Lafuze J et al. 1983 Plasma lactoferrin reflects granulocyte activation in vivo. Blood 61, 885–888.
- Lederman S, Gulick R, Chess L. 1989 Dextran sulfate and heparin interact with CD4 molecules to inhibit the binding of coat protein (gp120) of HIV. *J Immunol* 143, 1149–1154.
- Meisel H. 1997 Biochemical properties of regulatory peptides derived from milk proteins *Biopolymers* 2, 119–128.

- Moriuchi M, Moriuchi H. 2001 A milk protein lactoferrin enhances human T cell leukemia virus-type I and suppresses HIV-1 infection. *J Immunol* 166, 4231–4236.
- Moulard M, Lortat-Jacob H, Mondor I et al. 2000 Selective interactions of polyanions with basic surfaces on human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gp120. J Virol 74, 1948–1960.
- Moyle GJ, Back D. 2001 Principles and practice of HIV-protease inhibitor pharmacoenhancement. *HIV Med* 2, 105–113.
- Newburg DS, Viscidi RP, Ruff A et al. 1992 A human milk factor inhibits binding of human immunodeficiency virus to the CD4 receptor. Pediatr Res 31, 22–28.
- Ng TB, Lam TL, Au TK et al. 2001 Inhibition of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase, protease and integrase by bovine milk proteins. *Life Sci* 19, 2217–2223.
- Nuijens JH, Abbink JJ, Wachtfogel YT et al. 1992 Plasma elastase alpha 1-antitrypsin and lactoferrin in sepsis: evidence for neutrophils as mediators in fatal sepsis. J Lab Clin Med 119, 159–168.
- Puddu P, Borghi P, Gessani S et al. 1998 Antiviral effect of bovine lactoferrin saturated with metal ions on early steps of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 30, 1055–1062.
- Rizzuto CD, Wyatt R, Hernandez-Ramos N et al. 1998 A Conserved HIV gp120 Glycoprotein Structure Involved in Chemokine Receptor Binding. Science 280, 1949–1953.
- Roderiquez G, Oravecz T, Yanagishita M *et al.* 1995 Mediation of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 binding by interaction of cell surface heparan sulfate proteoglycans with the V3 region of envelope gp120-gp41. *J Virol* **69**, 2233–2239.
- Sattentau QJ, Clapham PR, Weiss RA et al. 1988 The human and simian immunodeficiency viruses HIV-1, HIV-2 and SIV interact with similar epitopes on their cellular receptor, the CD4 molecule. AIDS 2, 101–115.
- Schols D, Struyf S, Van Damme J et al. 1997 Inhibition of T-tropic HIV strains by selective antagonization of the chemokine receptor CXCR4. J Exp Med 186, 1383–1388.
- D'Souza MP, Cairns JS, Plaeger SF. 2000 Current evidence and future directions for targeting HIV entry: therapeutic and prophylactic strategies. *JAMA* 284, 215–222.
- Squires KE 2001. An introduction to nucleoside and nucleotide analogues. *Antivir Ther* **6** (Suppl 3), 1–14.
- Swart PJ, Kuipers EM, Smit C et al. 1998 Antiviral activity of lactoferrin. Adv Exp Med Biol 443, 205–213.
- Swart PJ, Harmsen MC, Kuipers ME et al. 1999 Charge modification of plasma and milk proteins results in antiviral active compounds. J Pept Sci 5, 563–576.
- Swart PJ, Kuipers ME, Smit C *et al.* 1999 Antiviral effects of milk proteins: acylation results in polyanionic compounds with potent activity against human immunodeficiency virus types 1 and 2 *in vitro. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 12, 769–775.
- Ueno R, Kuno S. 1987 Anti-HIV synergism between dextran sulphate and zidovudine. *Lancet* 2, 796–797.
- Van Heeswijk RP, Veldkamp A, Mulder JW *et al.* 2001 Combination of protease inhibitors for the treatment of HIV-1-infected patients: a review of pharmacokinetics and clinical experience. *Antiviral Therapy* **4**, 201–229.
- Volberding PA. 1999 Advances in the medical management of patients with HIV-1 infection: an overview. *AIDS* **13** (Suppl 1), \$1_\$S9
- Wang H, Ye X, Ng TB. 2000 First demonstration of an inhibitory activity of milk proteins against human immunodeficiency virus-1 reverse transcriptase and the effect of succinylation. *Life Sci* **67**, 2745–2752.